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How it all began:
Georgian - German School and Workshop in Basic Science:

**Before talk:**
- Dr. Gela Devidze: “opportunity to give a talk”
- Me: “Of course!”

**My talk:** “Geometry Design Study for CTA 12m telescope” (DESY Summer School 2009)

**After talk:**
- Dr. Hans Stroeher: “I would like to invite you for 6 weeks in FZJ”
  - Me: “I’d love to come!”

**After 2 months:** between the exams for applying to Master’s Program:
I’m in Forschungszentrum Juelich!!!
• Dr. Andro Kacharava was very helpful, gave me a great introduction excursion on COSY, and guided me during my whole stay in IKP
• IKP’s very friendly people: wishes for pleasant stay came true

❖ Georgians’ great support
WHAT I SAW

Thanks for guided tours to COSY:

Andro Kacharava, Alex Nass, Valerie Serduk, Christian Weidemann, Kirill Grigoriev, and excursion from Bad Honnef
Unpolarised and transversely polarized proton and deuteron beams

Momentum range: 300MeV/c - 3.7 GeV/c

183 m circumference, including two 40m straight sections

For 300-600MeV range: electron cooling; for higher energy: stochastic cooling

Internal experiments: ANKE, PAX, WASA COSY-11, EDDA;

External: TOF, JESSICA and etc.

I have been on COSY 7-8 times (much better than one 4 hour excursion on HERA)
Why COSY is so Cool?

Electron cooling
- High quality electron beam injected into the straight section
- Electrons velocities spread: 1/100 000 of the average velocity
- Average $V(\text{el}) - V(\text{pr})$
- Electron Beam Current $>>$ Proton BC

Stochastic cooling
- Sensor: the average position of circulating particles with respect to a central orbit
- Signal proportional to the displacement sent to another point
- Correcting pulse forces the particle to approach the central orbit

*: Difficult to accelerate an intense beam of electrons by more than $\sim$100 KV

- Obvious for one particle
- Shown that works for many particles as well
ANKE (Apparatus for Studies of Nucleon and Kaon Ejectiles)
- Internal magnetic spectrometer
- Excellent momentum resolution
- Limited solid angle coverage
- Optimized kaon ID, Si recoil tracker
- Targets: polarized ("PIT") or unpolarized (cluster)

TOF (Time Of Flight)
- Large angle (non magnetic) spectrometer: external exp. at COSY
- 4π geometrical coverage
- Particle Identification from Time-Of-Flight, (dE/dx)
- Target: liquid hydrogen, deuterium
EXPERIMENTS

WASA
(Wide Angle Shower Apparatus)

• A large-acceptance detector for charged and neutral particles.
• Pellet target
• Very good momentum resolution
• No acceptance at 0°

PAX Project
(Polarised Antiproton eXperiment)

2010–2012: Spin Filtering Studies for protons at COSY
• 2012–2015: Spin–Filtering Studies for antiprotons at CERN AD
• After 2015:
  PAX at FAIR. Collide polarised protons and polarised antiprotons
• Motivation:
  Transversity distribution, Filling in gaps of QCD

Me, visiting PAX, earlier than many IKP scientists
WHAT I’VE LEARNED

Insight into PAX project

Thanks to Dr. Andro Kacharava, Dr. Nodar Lomidze for great help
Spin-Filtering Principle:

Unpolarized beam starts circulating in the ring

- Hits polarised target
- \( \sigma(\uparrow\uparrow) \neq \sigma(\uparrow\downarrow) \)
- One spin direction depleted more than the other
- A fraction of beam is lost
- BUT: the left beam is polarised

In other words: more protons with spin in particular direction.

\[
\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \sigma_0 + \sigma_\perp \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{Q} + \sigma_\parallel (\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{k})(\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{k})
\]

P beam polarization
Q target polarization
k \parallel beam direction
PAX hardware

Atomic Beam Source (ABS): polarized atoms (H, D);

Storage cell to increase target density;

Breit–Rabi Polarimeter: Monitoring of target polarization;

Silicon Tracking Telescope: Particle tracks and energy
Ionization of hydrogen atoms doesn’t change polarization of protons
Hydrogen hyperfine states

Electron Spin: $S=1/2$

$m_j = \pm \frac{1}{2}$

Proton Spin: $I=1/2$

$m_I = \pm \frac{1}{2}$

Total angular momentum: $F=S+I$

$F=0$: $m_F = 0$

$F=1$: $m_F = 0, \pm 1$

No external field:

$\Delta W \approx 6 \cdot 10^{-6}\text{eV}$

*(electron and proton spins interaction)*

With field: Zeeman splitting of $F=1$ state
Proton polarization

- Polarization: \( P = \frac{N_{\uparrow} - N_{\downarrow}}{N_{\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow}} \)

  - Weak field:
    - [1], [2] \( \rightarrow \) \( P = 0.5 \)

  - Strong field:
    - \( P = 1.0 \) or \( P = -1.0 \)
Silicon Tracking Telescope

3 layers of double-sided silicon-strip detectors

Surround storage cell from 4 sides

Particle tracking $\rightarrow$ Vertex

Stopping particle $\rightarrow$ Total energy

Distinguishing protons and deuterons
Silicon detector (Energy)

- *n*-doped stripes (30 µm)
- *p*-doped stripes (30 µm)
- Particle moves through detector→
  - Electron–hole couple: 3.6 eV
- *p–n* junctions: energy transferred to the semiconductor.
  - The sum of energy losses
    \[ E_{\text{sum}} = \sum_{\text{segments}} E_{\text{segment}} \]
  - To determine the total energy, particle should be stopped in the detector
OBSERVABLES

\( \mathbf{k}_{in} \) - incident wave vector

\( \mathbf{OZ} \parallel \mathbf{k}_{in} \)

\( \mathbf{OY} \parallel \mathbf{k}_{in} \times \mathbf{k}_{out} \)

\( \mathbf{OX} \parallel \mathbf{OY} \times \mathbf{OZ} \)

\( \hat{s} \)-polarization axis

\[ \beta = \angle(\hat{s}, \mathbf{Z}) \]

\[ \theta = \angle(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{k}_{out}) \]

\[ \varphi = \angle(\mathbf{X}, [\hat{s} \times \mathbf{k}_{in}]) \]

\( \sigma(\theta, \varphi) = \sigma_0(\theta)[1 + PA_Y \cos \varphi] \)

ONLY the polarization component normal to reaction plane affects the cross section

\( A_Y \) - Analyzing Power of the reaction. the polarization, obtained in the reaction, initiated with an unpolarized beam.

Kinematics for different reactions should be known well
WHAT I DID

(not that I had big experience before, but still)
KINEMATICS

• \( p_a + p_b \rightarrow p_1 + p_2 \)

• \( s-(p_a + p_b)^2 \) is known

• Interesting dependences: \( p_1 \) vs. \( \theta_1 \), \( p_2 \) vs. \( \theta_2 \), \( \theta_1 \) vs. \( \theta_2 \)

\[
p_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda(t, m_b^2, m_1^2)}}{2m_b}
\]

\[
p_2 = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda(u, m_b^2, m_2^2)}}{2m_b}
\]

\[
\lambda(x, y, z) = (x - y - z)^2 - 4yz
\]

where \( t-(p_b - p_2)^2 \), \( u-(p_a - p_2)^2 \)

\[
tg\theta_1 = \frac{\sin\theta_1^*}{\gamma(\cos\theta_1^* + g_1^*)}
\]

\[
tg\theta_2 = \frac{\sin\theta_1^*}{\gamma(-\cos\theta_1^* + g_2^*)}
\]

\[
g^* = \frac{v}{v^*} = \frac{\text{Velocity of LCS in CM}}{\text{Velocity of particle in CM}}
\]

\[
g^* < 1 \quad 0 < \theta < 180^\circ
\]

\[
g^* \geq 1 \quad \theta < \theta^{\text{max}} \leq 90^\circ
\]
Kinematics: elastic Proton-Deuteron scattering

- TGenPhaseSpace Class
- T-353 MeV
- $\theta - p$ dependence for Protons and for Deutrons
- Proton vs. Deuteron: $\theta$'s and $p$'s
Analysis tools: kinematics
pp elastic scattering

- Laboratory Coordinate System: $\theta - \theta$, $\theta - p$, $p - p$ dependences

T = 353 MeV
Used for beam polarization measurement
- Large Analysing Power
Kinematics (pp-\(\rightarrow\pi^+ d\)) \(T=353\ MeV\)
Analysis Tools: Simulations

• **GEANT 4**
  (describe the passage of elementary particles through the matter)

  • The tracking of particles through an experimental setup for simulation of detector response
  • The graphical representation of the setup and of the particle trajectories

**NEED TO LEARN!**
LAST BUT NOT LEAST
Hadron Physics Summer School in Bad Honnef

- Interesting lectures
- Working group: Rare $\eta$ decays (Dr. Andreas Wirzba & Dr. Magnus Wolke)
- My task: Motivation

- Pleasant atmosphere of Bad Honnef
- Interesting discussions with other students and a lot of fun
CONCLUSION

Before Visit:
• Huge amount of names and notions: COSY, ANKE, PAX, FAIR, and of course, spin-filtering
• confused & tired

After Visit:
• Even larger ocean of notions: Atomic Beam Source, Breit-Rabi Polarimeter, Silicon Tracking Telescope
• BUT knowledge receives some shape: happy & interested

Found IKP and Juelich very cosy
Have a desire to come back
P.S. Just an interesting fact:

- PAX experiment timeline nicely matches with my educational timeline:
  - 2010-2012: PAX @ COSY  Me@Master’s Program
  - 2012-2015: PAX@CERN  ME@PhD
  - 2015-2020: PAX@FAIR  Me@ PostDoc
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BACK-UP
(or things I’ve learned but they will be too much for the talk)
Deuterium Polarization
1-D hit point
• **primary threshold** of that segment
• corresponding secondary threshold.

• **Criteria for secondary threshold**: reasonable size of the hit, reducing the errors, caused by loss summation

2-D hit point
Combining 1-D hits from both sides
n-doped stripes (y coordinate)
p-doped stripes (x coordinate)

• **Criteria**: both energy losses may differ only by small certain percentage.

• **The position of the hit**: weighted energy loss center of all segments in the hit.
DETECTORS

Introduction from Valerie Serduk

- Drift chambers, ready to install on ANKE,
- Straw detectors for the PANDA
- and also scintillators, counters, and many wires to analyze the data, given by the detectors.